G1VE TECHNOLOGIES

The Orchestrator Problem: AI's Next Battlefield Isn't Killing — It's Command

W.B. Carrington, MBA, LSSMBB, CTPRA, GRCA, GRCP

Mar 15, 2026

Original source

https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/orchestrator-problem-ais-next-battlefield-isnt-its-w-b--jcm6e/

How the Pentagon's $100 Million Drone Swarm Challenge Is Redefining the Future of Autonomous Warfare — And Why Iran Just Proved the Clock Is Running Out

THE MOMENT EVERYTHING CHANGED

On February 28, 2026 — the opening hours of Operation Epic Fury — something unprecedented happened above the skies of Iran. It wasn't just the B-2 Spirit bombers threading through Iranian airspace. It wasn't the Tomahawk cruise missiles lighting up radar screens from the Gulf. It was what was happening behind all of it — invisible, instantaneous, and utterly transformative.

Artificial intelligence systems processed satellite feeds, human intelligence intercepts, electronic warfare signatures, and targeting data simultaneously. Project Maven ingested it. Palantir Gotham structured it. And for the first time in the history of American warfare, AI suggested 42 strike targets per hour — one every 85 seconds, around the clock, for the first 24 hours of combat.

We crossed a threshold. The question is no longer whether AI can assist in warfare. The question is whether AI can lead warfare — and whether our warfighters, our commanders, and our national command authority are equipped to manage what comes next.

That question sits at the center of the most important challenge the Pentagon has issued in a generation: a $100 million prize competition to solve what military technologists are now calling The Orchestrator Problem.

THE PROBLEM NOBODY IS TALKING ABOUT — UNTIL NOW

Here is the dirty secret that defense insiders will tell you off the record: the United States military has gotten extraordinarily good at building autonomous drones. It has not gotten nearly as good at using them together.

The numbers are staggering. Ukraine deployed more than one million drones to its frontline units in a single year. The global drone swarm market is projected to reach $3 billion by 2032. The Pentagon's Replicator initiative — rebranded in late 2025 as the Defense Autonomous Warfare Group (DAWG) — has been racing to field thousands of small, autonomous, low-cost drones to give American commanders mass and reach without mass casualties.

But here is where the operational reality crashes into the technological aspiration: a human commander cannot control one hundred drones the way a pilot controls one aircraft. You cannot click through menus when missiles are inbound. You cannot program individual behaviors when the battle plan is changing every six seconds.

The real problem isn't building the drones. It's building the brain that commands the swarm.

That is precisely the gap that the Pentagon's Defense Innovation Unit (DIU), in coordination with DAWG and the U.S. Navy, moved to close in January 2026 when it announced the Orchestrator Prize Challenge — a competition offering up to $100 million to any vendor who

can deliver a system capable of commanding heterogeneous drone fleets using plain, natural-language commands.

Lt. Gen. Frank Donovan, who leads DAWG, was unambiguous about the requirement:

> "We want orchestrator technologies that allow humans to work the way they already command — through plain language that expresses desired effects, constraints, timing, and priorities — not by clicking through menus or programming behaviors."

Read that again slowly. The Pentagon is asking for an AI system that can translate a commander's intent — expressed in natural language — into coordinated, multi-platform autonomous action across land, sea, and air. This is not science fiction. This is an open contract solicitation with a nine-figure price tag and a national security imperative attached to it.

WHY OPERATION EPIC FURY MADE THIS URGENT

Before February 28, 2026, the Orchestrator Challenge was a forward-thinking initiative. After February 28, it became an emergency.

Here is what Operation Epic Fury taught the Pentagon in real-time, at operational cost:

Lesson 1: Speed favors the AI. When AI systems are suggesting 42 targets per hour and humans are required to evaluate, approve, and execute each one, the human becomes the bottleneck. This is not a criticism of human judgment — it is a mathematical reality. At the tempo of modern, AI-driven warfare, the gap between "target suggested" and "target prosecuted" can cost lives, missions, and strategic windows.

Lesson 2: Low-cost mass is a force multiplier — if you can command it. Iran deployed its Shahed suicide drones at scale, overwhelming air defense interceptors at a cost ratio of approximately 114:1 (a $35,000 Iranian drone defeated by a $4 million PAC-3 interceptor). The United States must field its own mass of low-cost autonomous platforms — but fielding them without an orchestration layer is like building an army without a general staff.

Lesson 3: Ukraine set the benchmark. With more than one million drones deployed in a single year, Ukraine has demonstrated what industrial-scale autonomous warfare looks like. The Pentagon's Replicator initiative missed its August 2025 delivery milestone. That miss became a warning. DAWG and the Orchestrator Challenge are the corrective action.

Lesson 4: Multi-domain integration is non-negotiable. Operation Epic Fury was not a drone war. It was a multi-domain operation — B-2 bombers, Tomahawk missiles, suicide drones, electronic warfare, cyber operations, and space-based intelligence all operating simultaneously. The Orchestrator system must integrate all of these, not just aerial drones. The competition brief calls for "vehicle-agnostic" capability — meaning the winning system must command drones operating on land, at sea, and in the air, from different manufacturers, with different capabilities, without any single point of failure.

THE CONTENDERS AND THE COMPETITION

The Orchestrator challenge is not a simple best-practices test. It requires vendors to demonstrate something genuinely difficult: a system that is "robust, scalable, and vehicle-agnostic" for "understanding, tasking, and coordinating autonomous systems at the fleet level."

Think about what that means architecturally. You need:

- Natural Language Processing (NLP) capable of translating ambiguous military intent into precise machine instructions
- Real-time sensor fusion across multiple intelligence streams simultaneously
- Autonomous mission planning that adapts dynamically as the operational environment changes
- Human-machine teaming protocols that maintain meaningful human control while operating at machine speed
- Cybersecurity hardening against adversary jamming, spoofing, and takeover of the swarm

SpaceX — yes, that SpaceX — has entered the competition, signaling that the commercial space giant sees the autonomous defense market as a strategic priority. Anduril Industries, Shield AI, and a raft of defense-tech startups are also in the mix. Traditional primes like Northrop Grumman and General Dynamics are watching carefully — because if a non-traditional entrant wins this competition, it redraws the entire map of defense AI procurement.

The competition's structure mirrors the Ender's Game model — find the person (or system) who can manage thousands of robotic assets as a unified force, intuitively, under pressure, in conditions of extreme ambiguity.

THE AUTOMATION BIAS TRAP

Here is the part that should concern every strategic thinker who reads this newsletter: the same AI capabilities that make orchestration powerful also create a new class of risk called automation bias — the human tendency to defer to algorithmic recommendations, even when the algorithm is wrong.

In Operation Epic Fury, this dynamic was already visible. AI systems flagged a primary school in Minab, Iran as a legitimate military target. Humans approved the strike. Approximately 110 children were killed.

Was this the AI's "fault"? Technically, a human made the final call. But the speed of AI-generated targeting recommendations, combined with the cognitive pressure of a high-tempo operation, created conditions where meaningful human review became extraordinarily difficult.

CENTCOM Commander Admiral Brad Cooper publicly stated: "Humans make final decisions." That statement is legally true. Whether it is operationally true at 42 targets per hour is a question that military ethicists, JAG officers, and national security lawyers are now debating with urgent intensity.

The Orchestrator system — whatever form it takes — must be designed not just to accelerate human command, but to protect the quality of human judgment under pressure. This means building friction into the system at precisely the points where automation bias is most dangerous: high-value targets, civilian proximity assessments, and proportionality evaluations under international humanitarian law.

THE STRATEGIC HORIZON: FY2027 AND BEYOND

The FY2027 defense budget — requested at an unprecedented $1.5 trillion — puts autonomous systems at the center of DoD's investment thesis. The budget prioritizes non-

traditional defense providers, C4ISR innovation, and expanding the Golden Dome missile defense architecture. Embedded throughout all three priorities is the same requirement: AI systems that can operate at machine speed under human oversight.

This creates a procurement signal of historic proportions. The Orchestrator Challenge is not a standalone $100 million program. It is the proof-of-concept gateway for a broader autonomous warfare architecture that will underpin hundreds of billions in future contracts. Whoever wins the Orchestrator competition — or who builds the enabling technologies that feed into it — is positioning themselves at the center of the U.S. military's AI-enabled future.

The DAWG, working with DIU and the Navy, has already restructured drone acquisition bureaucracy to accelerate procurement. New Other Transaction Authority pathways and streamlined testing protocols mean that a winning Orchestrator technology could move from prototype to fielded system in 18-24 months — fast by any defense standard, essentially unprecedented for AI-enabled command systems.

WHAT THIS MEANS FOR THE AI INNOVATION COMMUNITY

If you are building AI systems — whether you are a defense startup, a commercial AI firm with dual-use capabilities, or an established prime contractor — here is the strategic read:

The $100 million Orchestrator prize is the visible tip of an iceberg. The underlying procurement program it seeds will be worth multiples of that figure. The winning architecture will define how the U.S. military commands autonomous systems for the next decade. And the Tier 2 enabling technologies — sensor fusion, NLP optimization for military contexts, edge-AI for contested environments, cybersecurity hardening for autonomous systems — represent a parallel procurement opportunity that is currently underserved.

The Iran war made autonomous orchestration a combat-proven requirement, not a theoretical ambition. That changes the political math in Congress and the budget math at the Pentagon. Programs that were scheduled for future consideration have been pulled forward. The urgency is real.

The ethical framework is not settled — and that is a business opportunity. Organizations that can demonstrate responsible AI governance — meaningful human control, explainability, bias mitigation, compliance with DoD's Responsible AI principles — will have a distinct competitive advantage as oversight requirements tighten. The post-Minab political fallout is already generating congressional interest in AI accountability frameworks that will become future contract requirements.

The Anthropic precedent is a warning. The Trump administration labeled Anthropic a "supply chain risk" and issued a Pentagon memo ordering its removal from military systems within 180 days. The lesson: AI firms without cleared facilities, FOCI mitigation plans, and explicit alignment with DoD policy face existential procurement risk, regardless of their technical capabilities. If you are an AI company with defense ambitions, your compliance posture is not a back-office concern. It is a frontline strategic asset.

THE BOTTOM LINE

The Orchestrator Problem is the defining challenge of AI-enabled warfare. It is also the defining opportunity for the next generation of defense technology. Operation Epic Fury

proved the need. The $100 million prize challenge funds the solution. The FY2027 budget scales the winner.

We are at the inflection point. The drone swarms are coming — the question is who builds the brain that leads them. The organizations that answer that question correctly, and quickly, will shape the character of American military power for a generation.

The battlefield of the future is being designed right now, in grant proposals and prototype contracts and quietly circulated DIU solicitations. Are you in the room Defense One?

✨Call to Action✨:

Subscribe to my LinkedIn Newsletter for more Future Trends and Insights on/in Regulatory Compliance, A.I. (Artificial Intelligence) Governance/Ethics, Internal/External Audit, TPRM (Third-Party Risk Management), Fintech Innovations, and "all things GRC" (Governance, Risk Management, and Compliance). Let's navigate the future together.

🔍 About the Author:

W.B. Carrington, MBA, LSSMBB, CTPRA, GRCA, GRCP, is a seasoned Artificial Intelligence (A.I.), National Defense and National Security Strategist and Subject Matter Expert (SME) in Governance, Risk, and Compliance (GRC), A.I. Governance/Ethics, Regulatory Compliance, Data Governance, Comprehensive/Integrated Risk Management, and Project/Program Management.

As the Managing Partner at G1VE | Technologies and a Strategic Board Advisor to many multi-domain, cross-industry organizations across both the Public Sector (I.e., Fortune 500 and Fortune 1000 companies) and Private Sector entities, W.B. leverages his extensive experience and deep subject matter expertise to drive innovative solutions that enhance organizational resilience and operational integrity. Connect with W.B. Carrington, MBA, LSSMBB, CTPRA, GRCA, GRCP, on LinkedIn to stay updated on the latest insights and trends in these critical areas.